Environmental predictor variables used in jaguar distribution model.

Species distribution modeling is a promising field of research for improving conservation efforts and setting priorities. The aim of this study was to produce an environmental suitability map for jaguar distribution in two biomes in Brazil – Caatinga and Atlantic Forest –, where the species is Critically Endangered as part of the Jaguar National Action Plan workshop (Atibaia, São Paulo state). Species occurrence (N = 57 for Caatinga and N = 118 for Atlantic Forest), provided by jaguar specialists, and ten environmental predictors (elevation, land cover, distance from water and bioclimatic variables) were used to generate species distribution models in Maxent. Both models presented high predictive success (AUC = 0.880 ± 0.027 for Caatinga and AUC = 0.944 ± 0.022 for Atlantic Forest) and were highly significant (p < 0.001), predicting only 18.64% of Caatinga and 10.32% of Atlantic Forest as suitable for jaguar occurrence. The species distribution models revealed the low environmental suitability of both biomes for jaguar occurrence, emphasizing the urgency of setting conservation priorities and strategies to improve jaguar conservation such as the implementation of new protected areas and corridors for species dispersal.

Predicting species distribution has made enormous progress during the past decade. A wide variety of modeling techniques (see Guisan & Thuiller 2005) have been intensively explored aiming to improve the comprehension of species-environment relationships (Peterson 2001). The species distribution modeling (SDM) relates species distribution data to information on the environmental and/or spatial characteristics of those locations. Combinations of environmental variables most closely associated to presence points can then be identified and projected onto landscapes to identify areas of predicted presence on the map (Soberón & Peterson 2005, Elith & Leathwick 2009). The geographic projection of these conditions (i.e., where both abiotic and biotic requirements are fulfilled) represents the potential distribution of the species. Finally, those areas where the potential distribution is accessible to the species are likely to approximate the actual distribution of it. The jaguar, the largest feline in the Americas, has been heavily affected by retaliation killing for livestock predation, fear, skin trade, prey depletion, trophy hunting (e.g. Smith 1976, Conforti & Azevedo 2003) and habitat loss (Sanderson et al. 2002). As a consequence, it is now restricted to ca. 46% of its former range (Sanderson et al. 2002).

Environmental suitability models have been produced for jaguar distribution in Brazil during the Jaguar National Action Plan Workshop, facilitated by IUCN/SSC CBSG Brazil and organized and funded by CENAP/ICMBio, Pró Carnívoros and Panthera, in November 2009, Atibaia, São Paulo state, Brazil. During the workshop, jaguar specialists provided occurrence point data for species distribution modeling. A jaguar database was compiled only by recent (less than five years) and confirmed records (e.g., signs, telemetry, camera-trapping, chance observations). All models and detailed information about the procedure and the results are included in the Jaguar National Action Plan. Background information on SDM and necessary considerations are summarized in the Supporting Online Material Appendix I (www.catsg.org/catnews). Here, to illustrate the potential of the use of the SDM for cat conservation, we presented the environmental suitability models for jaguar in two biomes (Caatinga and Atlantic Forest, Fig. 1), where the species is considered Critically Endangered in Brazil (de Paula et al. 2012, this issue; Beisiegel et al. 2012, this issue).

### Methods

Jaguar distribution was modeled for each biome separately considering the differences between the environmental spaces (i.e., conceptual space defined by the environmental variables to which the species responds). The biome map used was obtained from a Land Cover Map of Brazil (1:5.000.000), 2004, by the Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics, IBGE (available for download at http://www.ibge.gov.br/).

Predictive distribution models were formulated considering the entire available jaguar dataset as the dependent variable (presence points) and the selected environmental variables as the predictors (Table 1). Jaguar data available for modeling (N = 57 for Caatinga; N = 118 for Atlantic Forest; Fig. 2) were plotted as lat/long coordinates on environmental maps with a grid cell size of 0.0083 decimal degrees (~1 km²).

Models were obtained by Maxent 3.3.3e (Phillips & Dudik 2008) using 70% of the data for training (N = 40 for Caatinga and N = 66 for Atlantic Forest) and 30% for testing the models (N = 17 for Caatinga and N = 28 for Atlantic Forest; Pearson 2007). Data were sampled by bootstrapping with 10 random partitions with replacements. All runs were set with a convergence threshold of 1.0E–5.

### Table 1. Environmental predictor variables used in jaguar distribution model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Land cover</td>
<td>Land cover map from GlobCover Land Cover version V2.3, 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevation</td>
<td>Elevation map by NASA Shuttle Radar Topography Mission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance from water</td>
<td>Map of gradient distance from water obtained from vector map of rivers from IBGE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Bioclimatic variables | Maps of bioclimatic variables from Worldclim:  
| Bio1 = Annual mean temperature |                                                                                   |
| Bio2 = Mean diurnal range (mean of monthly (max temp - min temp)) |                                                   |
| Bio5 = Max temperature of warmest month |                                                                                   |
| Bio6 = Min temperature of coldest month |                                                                                   |
| Bio12 = Annual precipitation |                                                                                   |
| Bio13 = Precipitation of wettest month |                                                                                   |
| Bio14 = Precipitation of driest month |                                                                                   |
with 500 iterations, with 10,000 background points. The logistic threshold output format was used resulting in continuous values for each grid cell in the map from 0 (unsuitable) to 1 (most suitable). These values can be interpreted as the probability of presence of suitable environmental condition for the target species (Veloz 2009). The logistic threshold used to “cut-off” the models converting the continuous probability model in a binary model was the one that assumed 10 percentile training presence provided by the Maxent outputs 0.300 for Caatinga; 0.100 for Atlantic forest. These thresholds were selected by the specialists as the best one to represent the suitable areas for recent jaguar distribution in both biomes.

Models were evaluated by the AUC value, the omission error and by the binomial probability (Pearson 2007).

**Results and Discussion**

The SDM for Caatinga and Atlantic Forest biomes presented high predictive success and were highly statistically significant (AUC = 0.880 ± 0.027, omission error = 0.206, p < 0.001; AUC = 0.944 ± 0.022, omission error = 0.129, p < 0.001, respectively; SOM Fig. 1, 2), predicting about 18.64% of the Caatinga (Fig. 3) and 10.32% of the Atlantic Forest (Fig. 4) as suitable for jaguar occurrence. Much of the Caatinga biome (844,453 km²) predicted as suitable (54.77%) for jaguar occurrence encompassed the closed to open (>15%) shrubland. Meanwhile, much of the unsuitable area (26.62%) for the species also encompassed this land cover. This discrepancy is due especially to human development or simply occupation that leads to medium to high level of disturbance in the environment. These habitat alterations are especially due to mining activities, agriculture, timber extraction, firewood production, and lowering of prey items due to excessive hunting activities. The closed to open shrubland covers about 40.67% of total biome area. The closed formations have 60% to 80% of plant cover, whereas the open formations have only 40 to 60% (Chaves et al. 2008). The vegetation type is deciduous, generally with thorny woody species > 4.5 m tall, interspersed with succulent plants, especially cact. The trees are 7-15 m high, with thin trunks. Several have tiny leaves where others have spines or thorns (Andrade-Lima 1981).

The semi-arid Caatinga domain is one of the most threatened biomes in Brazil with less than 50% of its natural cover and greatly impacted and fragmented by human activities (Leal et al. 2005). Most of the protected areas found in this biome (Fig. 3) presented large areas as suitable for jaguar occurrence, such as Serra Branca Ecological Station (ES) and Serra da Capivara National Park (NP) with 100%, Morro do Chapéu State Park (SP) with 91.28% and Serra das Confusões NP with 71.51%. Nevertheless Serra das Confusões and Chapada Diamantina NPs (with 62.63%) are the only two protected areas that are located in transitional areas with the Cerrado biome, hence the lower suitability within the Caatinga. Serra das Confusões NP is indeed a very important area for jaguars as it is large (5,238 km²), connected to Serra da Capivara NP/Serra Branca ES and also somehow bridges the Caatinga jaguar population with those of the Nascentes do Rio Parnaíba protected areas complex, likely the most important of the Cerrado domain. The bulk of prime areas for jaguars, located within the center of the Caatinga domain are being proposed as a new NP, created to protect one of the most important populations of the Critically Endangered Caatinga jaguar, Boqueirão do Onça NP (Fig. 3). The creation of this new protected area should be of utmost importance for jaguar conservation in the Caatinga. If the NP will be created according to the proposed limits, it will encompass 24.66% of the highly suitable area for jaguars. Much of the Atlantic Forest biome (1,110,182 km²) predicted as suitable (27.44%) for jaguar occurrence encompassed the closed to open (>15%) broadleaved evergreen or semi-deciduous forest (55.26%), while unsuitable areas encompassed mainly mosaic cropland (50-70%)/ vegetation (grassland/shrubland/forest) (20-50%).

Most of the continuous forest remains indicated as suitable for the jaguars at the Atlantic Forest biome correspond to the Brazilian protected areas (Fig. 4) such as Morro do Diabo SP, Mico Leão Preto ES, Caiuá ES, Carlos Botelho SP, Intervales SP, Alto Ribeira Touristic SP and Xitué ES, Iguacu NP, Serra da Bocaina NP, Tingüá Biological Reserve (BR) and Serra dos Órgãos NP, besides surroundings areas and some isolated forest remains (e.g., Rio Doce SP and Ibatiaia NP). The marshlands in the Upper Paraná River, in the west portion of the Atlantic Forest biome, are as important as forest areas to jaguar conservation. The most suitable areas in the region includes continuous protected areas such the Ilha Grande NP, Várzeas do Rio Ivinhema SP and Ilhas e Várzeas do Rio Paraná Environmental Protection Area (EPA). Some suitable areas indicated by the model such as Cantareira SP and its surrounding did not present any recent record of the species presence. The depauperate quality of forest cover of these areas with high human pressure probably explains the absence of the species there. This clearly illustrates the over-prediction (i.e., commission error), frequently observed in SDM. In this particular situation, the degraded vegetation and human pressure are not contemplated in the environmental variables input in the modeling, decreasing...
its predictive power. On the other hand, some areas with recent records of the species (not included in the modeling) were not indicated as suitable by the model such as the Juréia-Itatins ES and Caraguatatuba area of Serra do Mar SP. The omission and commission errors are common and frequent in SDM (Fielding & Bell 1997, Pearson 2007), emphasizing the need of cautious interpretation as local characteristics could decrease the model predictive success.

Most of the cropland areas (rainfed croplands, mosaic croplands/vegetation, mosaic croplands/forest; 64.67%) were considered unsuitable for the species occurrence. Jaguars depend on large prey such as peccaries, which are very susceptible to environmental degradation and poaching (e.g. Cullen Jr. et al. 2000), which is intense throughout the Atlantic forest, with the exception of a few well preserved areas. Accordingly, Cullen Jr. et al. (2005) had already verified that jaguars display a strong selection for primary and secondary forests, a strong avoidance of pastures and a weak use of agricultural areas.

The probability of jaguar presence was associated differently to the environmental predictor variables. Elevation (19.03%), the precipitation of driest month (Bio14; 18.08%) and...
the mean diurnal range (Bio2; 17.25%) were the highest contributor variables for jaguar model at the Caatinga biome. The probability of jaguar presence increased as elevation and the mean diurnal range increased, but decreased as the precipitation of driest month increased (Fig. 5). The presence of jaguar in Caatinga is associated with higher areas probably because of the lower human pressure and more pristine vegetation (e.g., Boqueirão da Onça NP). Although variables Bio14 and Bio2 had important contributions to the model its relationships with jaguar presence were not so clear.

Land cover (41.29%) was the highest contributor variable for the jaguar model in the Atlantic Forest biome. The high probability of jaguar presence was related to the closed to open (>15%) grassland or woody vegetation regularly flooded (Fig. 6). Wetland areas and riparian vegetation (Fig. 7) are core areas and dispersal corridors for jaguars (Cullen Jr. et al. 2005). However, only 30% of the original area of the Paraná River is left because of the construction of hydroelectric power stations (Agostinho & Zalewski 1996).

Future for SDM as a tool for cat conservation

The field of SDM is promising for improving conservation efforts and priorities (e.g., Thorn et al. 2009, Costa et al. 2010, Marini et al. 2010). SDM is a useful tool for resolving practical questions in applied ecology and conservation biology, but also in fundamental sciences (e.g., biogeography and phylogeography) (Guisan & Thuiller 2005). It represents an empirical method to draw statistical inferences about the drivers of species’ ranges under different conservation, ecological and evolutionary processes (Zimmermann et al. 2010).

The SDM approach can improve our knowledge about cat species worldwide by 1) highlighting areas where the species might occur but confirmed observation is missing, 2) identifying gaps in data collection and guiding the sampling efforts, 3) identifying key areas for conservation efforts and potential corridors linking protected areas and/or populations, 4) contributing for the assessment of IUCN red list categories, 5) helping to reduce conflicts (e.g., zoning), among others. Moreover, this modeling technique can provide a comprehensive understanding of the historical, current and future ranges of cat species, providing insights to conservation planning (e.g., Marini et al. 2010). Modeling should also be of paramount importance for predicting threatened species range in a world of climatic change. In fact, this kind of prediction could be vital for setting proper and effective action plans for critically endangered populations/species.

In practice, one of the most useful contributions from SDMs could be the prediction of suitable areas for species occurrence as well as helping to delineate potential corridors which link populations on a continental scale. The environmental suitability maps in a modeling framework could be used as a basis to improve the already existing extraordinary initiatives that seek to create such linkages (e.g. jaguar corridor initiative). This, in turn, has been considered one of the most effective conservation strategies to guarantee cat species conservation (Macdonald et al. 2010).

The assessment of conservation priorities for felids should consider the environmental suitability of landscape in a modeling framework. Suitability maps could be considered by stakeholders for defining priority areas for the establishment of new protected areas or corridors. However, conservation inferences should rely on robust models, avoiding omission and overprediction in species distribution range. The modeling exercise defining priority areas for conservation efforts should be a useful first evaluation. In this workshop one of the most valuable contributions of this exercise was the participatory manner in which this model was constructed. Furthermore the resulting maps provided stakeholders with distribution information and clear results to discuss, and it stimulated debates and discussions which otherwise may not have occurred. However, for reliable conservation decisions suitability models must rely on well-delineated field inventories (Costa et al. 2010) and model results must be validated.
A. Gambarini), Riparian vegetation is an important part of jaguar core areas and corridors (Photo Fig. 7.
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